wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	Rodolfo Flores-Campa	Case Nui	mber:	11	-7279M	
and was repr					eld on May 16, 2011. Defendant was presen efendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
		FINDINGS OF FAC	T			
	eponderance of the evidence that:					
	The defendant is not a citizen of	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
X	The defendant, at the time of the	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depo or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
$\boxtimes$	There is a record of the defendar	nt using numerous aliase	s.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of		years	s imprisonment.	
The tat the time o	Court incorporates by reference the referenc	naterial findings of the Pres noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF L		Servic	es Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of condition of condition of condition of condition of conditions.	efendant will flee.	assure	e the	appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custoo facility separate, to the extent practic defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	ly of the Attorney Genera able, from persons awaiti able opportunity for privat or the Government, the po	I or his ng or s te cons erson i in con	s/her servir sultat in cha necti	designated representative for confinement in ag sentences or being held in custody pending ion with defense counsel. On order of a cour arge of the corrections facility shall deliver the on with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be fi	led wit	th the	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releatificiently in advance of the hearing being potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be efore the District Court to	consider allow	dered Pret	d, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria rial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	TED this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 20	11.				
		San				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge